

# Process Team Steering Committee

## Meeting Notes

October 26, 2019

Present: Paul Kelway, Jim Fladmark, Deborah Marshall, Fred Meyer, Lisa Piemont, Susan Skjei, Martin Ramstedt

Not present: Dian Marie Hosking, LaDawn Haglund, David Marshall

1. Check-Ins
2. Announcements/Housekeeping
  - a. Note taker for this meeting: Lisa

---

### SUBSTANTIVE ISSUES/WORKING GROUPS

3. Working with the document, "Possible Initiatives until Shambhala Day", we related to
  - a. exploring and possibly relating to a restorative justice process
  - b. telling our story, producing a "spaces that work" document, designing the next year of our work
  - c. helping the Board and its new hire land the Code of Conduct
  - d. harvesting for u.Lab
  - e. community based Future Search

In the course of the discussion, we determined:

1. The form that a restorative justice project will take depends in part on how the new Director of Community Care and Conduct engages with this process. The SC will postpone development of this work pending the hiring of this director.
  2. "Telling our story" is a project we are all interested in participating in, in addition to inviting stakeholders throughout the community to contribute. It was agreed that we would dedicate the meeting two weeks from now to engaging in a u.Lab exploration of our story. Susan proposed a series of questions: "What did you intend? What happened? What did you learn? What do you suggest?" as a structure for telling the story. Could we each prepare some written answers to these questions before the next meeting? We could answer them before and after the meeting. What are some other ways to gather data about what has occurred in the PT in the past year? Could we send a survey or use the website to invite answers to the four questions?
  3. Community-based Future Search feels like it would spring from the "Telling the Story" project or at least falls under that topic area.
  4. Harvesting from u.Lab feels more like a support task. What can we do to support the work of the currently very small WG tasked with harvesting from u.Lab?
  5. We can inquire with David and LaDawn, who have expertise in data gathering, as to methods for bringing stories forward. We also need to mine data from the community at-large. The surveys from the Board are already producing a good deal of information.
4. Summary of key points and decisions (with responsible party, where relevant)
    - a. The next two meetings of the SC will dedicate time to

- i. November 3<sup>rd</sup> – exploring scenarios for discussion of the Code of Conduct
  - ii. November 9<sup>th</sup> – “telling our story” exploration with project design outcomes
- 

#### NEXT STEPS

5. Action Items, responsible party, and target date
  - a. Jim will send the CoC and other documents to SC members
  - b. SC members will take a close read of all the documents before the next meeting
6. Pending items for later discussion or follow-up (probably at next meeting)
  - Develop a clear understanding of our relationship with the Board
  - Discuss a frequency of our meeting and if we can modify the weekly schedule

**Next meeting: November 3<sup>rd</sup>, noon EST**